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**Introduction**

The Eclipse Virgo project provides a modular Java server runtime and is part of the Eclipse Runtime (EclipseRT) umbrella project. Virgo is freely available as open source under the Eclipse Public License.

Unlike traditional Java server runtimes, Virgo was designed from the beginning as a completely modular collection of OSGi bundles running on a standard OSGi framework implementation (Equinox). Virgo was also one of the first runtimes to provide support for applications written as well-defined collections of OSGi bundles. More on OSGi shortly.

As well as providing web servers based on popular servlet containers, Virgo has a separate kernel which provides many of Virgo's technical innovations and recently a lightweight “nano kernel” has been factored out of the kernel and is being used to build a lightweight web server which will support the Java EE Web Profile. The Nano-based deliverables of Virgo 3.5 should appear in the Eclipse Juno 2012 release train.

So Virgo is a prime example of the “stackless stack”. Users have built their own servers on top of the Virgo kernel while other users use the servers provided by Virgo.

After recapping the background, this paper explains the problems that Virgo sets out to solve, the benefits that Virgo provides, and the core technology inside Virgo. It then summarises the Virgo runtime deliverables and the Eclipse-based and stand-alone application development tooling provided by Virgo. The paper concludes with brief surveys of the component technologies embedded in Virgo, the standards supported by Virgo, and some source of further information.

**OSGi Introduction**

OSGi is a Java modularity standard with reference implementations and compliance test suites. It is developed by the OSGi Alliance (http://www.osgi.org).

Modules in OSGi are JAR files, known as bundles, with manifests which describe the packages which are imported and exported by the bundles. The OSGi framework resolves the dependencies of bundles at runtime and builds a graph of class loaders that routes class loading and resource lookup requests between the various bundle class loaders. In this way, OSGi provides runtime modularity and solves the problems of a global linear class path which plague Java applications.

Bundles and packages are versioned in OSGi. Exported packages are assigned a version number and package imports specify a range of permissible versions.

OSGi defines a life cycle for its bundles, so it is possible to start, update, and stop a bundle at runtime. This can increase the availability of an application or system since it is possible to update one or more bundles without necessarily restarting the whole application or system.

OSGi also defines a powerful service-oriented programming model. Objects implementing agreed interfaces are published from bundles into a service registry and may then be looked up and used by other bundles. Although it is possible to publish and look up services programmatically, OSGi defines two component models which make this particularly easy: Declarative Services and Blueprint. Both these component models are supported by Virgo and are described later.

---

History

The Virgo project started life at the end of 2007 as the SpringSource Application Platform and was soon renamed to SpringSource dm Server. The basic goals of the first version of dm Server, shared by Virgo, were:

- To provide a better OSGi platform
- To simplify migration of Java EE applications to OSGi
- To be modular and extensible

dm Server 1.0 was released at the end of 2008. Over the following year more and more people tried it out and a community of developers grew up around it. Based on feedback from this community, version 2.0 was released at the end of 2009 and included a separate kernel.

In January 2010 SpringSource announced that dm Server would be contributed to the Eclipse Foundation as the Virgo project. dm Server went out with a bang by winning the Eclipse award for best RT application.

By June 2010, Virgo was listed in eWeek's 25 Best and Brightest Eclipse Development Projects.

Since then Virgo has shipped 2.1 and 3.0 releases. 3.0 included Jetty support and the snaps framework for modular web applications. At the time of writing in March 2012, version 3.5 is in development and includes p2 provisioning support and new deliverables based on a “nano” subset of the kernel.

Virgo Feature Summary

- Web admin console - deploy and manage artefacts, examine diagnostic dumps, and explore bundle wiring, either in a live system or offline following a resolution failure
- Gogo shell - manage Virgo and deployed artefacts using a command line shell accessed via ssh or telnet
- Plans - define the artefacts that comprise an application, optionally making the application atomic to tie the artefact lifecycles together, and scoped to isolate the application from other applications
- Provisioning - automatically supply the dependencies of an application including bundles, plans, plan archives (PARs), and configurations, from both local and remote repositories
- Web container - supports vanilla WAR files, with all their dependencies in WEB-INF/lib, and Web Application Bundles, that import their dependencies via OSGi manifest metadata, via the reference implementation of the OSGi Web Container specification, based on embedded Apache Tomcat and configured using the standard Tomcat server.xml
- User region - isolates the kernel from user-installed applications and enables administrators to focus on application artefacts and their dependencies without seeing those of the kernel as well
- Spring 3 – Virgo 3.0 packages Spring 3.0.5, but can easily be configured to use a different version of Spring
- Hot deployment - deploy artefacts to Virgo by copying them into the pickup directory, either in archive or exploded form, as an alternative to deploying via the admin console or shell
- Logging - via embedded LogBack with a rich set of appenders available out of the box
- JMX management, accessed via the admin console, the shell, the Virgo IDE tooling, or directly
via a JMX client such as JConsole.

Figure 1: Virgo Externals

Figure 1 summarises the key externals of Virgo.

**Benefits**

Virgo is an ideal OSGi server runtime, possibly the ideal OSGi server runtime, from several perspectives.

**Standard OSGi**

Virgo supports all the standard features of OSGi; encapsulated modules or bundles, a powerful service registry, versioning, class space isolation, sharing of dependencies, dynamic refreshing and updating, and much more.

**Bundles All the Way Down**

Virgo was designed from the ground up as OSGi bundles so it's extremely modular and extensible.

For example, the core of the runtime is the Virgo kernel which is built on the relatively tiny Virgo Nano runtime. Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat is constructed by configuring a web layer, an admin console, and some other utilities on top of the kernel. Similarly the Virgo Jetty Server is constructed by configuring a collection of Jetty bundles, the admin console, and other utilities on top of the kernel.
The kernel protects itself from interference from such additions, and from applications, by running them in a separate *user region*. The kernel and user region are isolated from each other using standard OSGi defined hooks with sharing controlled by a user region configuration file. This separation enables applications to use a different version of the Spring framework to that used by the kernel.

All the major Java EE application servers are now built on top of OSGi, but only Virgo was designed for OSGi and didn't need OSGi to be retrofitted.

**Extra Features**

Virgo provides a multi-bundle application model to simplify the deployment and management of non-trivial applications. This has helped to inform the forthcoming OSGi Subsystems standard in the OSGi Enterprise R5 specification.²

Virgo also provides a repository which can store dependencies such as OSGi bundles which are automatically installed and started when needed. This results in cleaner definitions of applications and a small footprint compared to traditional Java EE servers which pre-load many features just in case an application needs them. The ability to uninstall bundles at runtime enables Virgo to be used in “elastic” environments where features need to come and go at runtime.

**Existing Java Libraries**

Virgo enables existing Java libraries to run successfully in an OSGi environment. Admittedly these have to be converted to OSGi bundles first, but then the Virgo kernel supports thread context class loading, load time weaving, classpath scanning, and a number of other features which are commonly used by persistence providers and other popular Java utilities.

Essentially, Virgo provides general solutions to the common problems when people attempt to migrate to OSGi.

**Container Integration**

Virgo integrates OSGi with Spring and a servlet container.

Virgo uses Gemini Blueprint (formerly Spring DM) to wire application contexts to the OSGi service registry. Beans can be published as OSGi services and can consume OSGi services, both with minimal effort.

The embedded form of Tomcat is used as a servlet engine in Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat's web support and is configured and managed just like standard Tomcat. Similarly, Jetty is the servlet engine in Virgo Jetty Server.

**Diagnostics++**

Virgo has extensive diagnostics:

- A web admin console enables the state of all artefacts deployed in Virgo to be examined as well as the state of all the bundles in the OSGi framework to be explored.
- Virgo provides multiple types of diagnostics: event logging aimed at administrators, diagnostics logging aimed at developers, as well as various types of diagnostic dumps.
- Virgo builds on Logback to support highly configurable and efficient logging.

² See “Subsystems” on page 23.
When an application is deployed, Virgo first resolves the application in a "side state" and, if resolution fails, no changes are committed to the OSGi framework and the side state is dumped to disk so that it can be analysed using the OSGi state inspector in the web admin console, as shown in Figure 2.

If a resolution failure occurs, Virgo also analyses the resolver error, including the root causes of any uses constraint violation, and extracts a meaningful message to include in an exception which is then thrown.

Virgo adds advanced diagnostics to Gemini Blueprint (formerly Spring DM) to track the starting of bundles and their blueprint (application) contexts and issue error messages on failures and warnings when dependencies are delayed.

Virgo automatically detects deadlocks and generates a thread stack dump.

**Advanced Tooling**

Virgo tooling support is provided in standard Eclipse via the Virgo IDE update site. This enables a Virgo server to run under the control of the tooling, applications to be deployed, debugged, and updated by the tooling, and package and service dependencies between bundles to be analysed. Figure 3 Shows Virgo running in Eclipse.

**Future proof**

Virgo is an open source Eclipse project with a liberal license and active participation from multiple vendors, which positions it ideally for the future.
Why Choose Virgo?

A number of alternatives to Virgo are available for OSGi application development so it is worth considering why Virgo may be preferable.

Virgo as an Application Server

The major Java EE application servers have OSGi application support built in. However, none of them is as lightweight as Virgo. So Virgo is preferable if relative simplicity, fast startup, and small footprint are important. Also other application servers were not built from the start as OSGi bundles and so Virgo may be preferable if it is necessary to modify or reconfigure the base set of bundles in the server.

Virgo as a Runtime Kernel

Apache Karaf is probably the main alternative to Virgo Nano and a detailed functional comparison is outside the scope of this paper. Virgo's diagnostics appear to be somewhat more advanced than Karaf's. For example, Virgo uses Logback as its logging framework, AspectJ for cross-cutting logging and diagnostic dumping function, and provides offline state dumping and analysis for diagnosing resolution failures.

Virgo Nano also extends smoothly to the Virgo kernel if a separate user region, scoping, and various class loading and resource finding extensions to ease the use of existing libraries in an OSGi environment are required. Virgo Nano and the Virgo kernel also form the base for Virgo's various server deliverables or may be used standalone or for building custom servers.

Another alternative to Virgo Nano is to build directly on an OSGi framework such as Equinox or Apache Felix. Using Virgo may be more cost-effective than reimplementing more than a small subset of Virgo's function. Virgo's diagnostics tend to be more mature than those provided by OSGi frameworks and so it may even be worth developing bundles on Virgo which will later be deployed straight into an OSGi framework. Virgo's plans and repository support greatly simplify the management of dependencies compared to using an OSGi framework in which all dependencies...
must be installed explicitly.

**Virgo as an Integrated Toolkit**

The Eclipse Gemini and Apache Aries projects provide collections of standalone components for use on an OSGi framework. Applications can then be built with precisely the desired collection of components underpinning them. An advantage of using Virgo is that it pre-integrates various collections of components, depending on the Virgo deliverable chosen, and these components are known to work correctly together because they have been subject to Virgo's extensive automated integration test suite.

**Success Stories**

Although a number of companies using Virgo do not publicise the fact, two have made clear public statements.

**Info Ion**

According to their [website](http://example.com), Infor is the world's third largest provider of enterprise applications and services. Their ION integration product is based on the Virgo kernel. They migrated from Equinox 3.5 to Virgo 2.1, added a lightweight web server (in preference to using the pre-built Virgo Tomcat or Jetty servers) and a service wrapper, added Spring integration, upgraded Spring framework, added a watched repository, and then added various enterprise service bundles. This is a perfect example of a Virgo kernel application, a so-called OSGi stackless stack.

**CME Group**

[CME Group](http://cmegroup.com), formerly known as Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings Inc., is the world’s leading derivatives marketplace. CME Group released the following information in January 2012.

> At CME Group, the goals for our project were to use and create a platform that would be easy to maintain, expand and reuse. Our applications are of various sizes and complexities, but there are certain aspects that are common, which was the reason to find a very modular solution. In particular, our current efforts are in Surveillance tools which allow monitoring the state of the exchange and the market.

> We started using Virgo 2.0.1 during a “proof of concept” in November of 2010 and spent 2011 working closely with them to build the application. Today we use version 3.0.1. with 48 service bundles and 20 web bundles. These services range from simple DAO type services, through authorization and authentication, web services, and even distributed caching. All web bundles use snaps and customized apache tiles views.

> What Virgo and OSGi provides for us is complete modularity and plug-and-play capability. This enables us to work more intelligently with other teams who need to build bundles independently of us where the strong isolation of services and APIs allows for a clear separation of control. We have created a core set of services that will be a platform for future projects across CME Group and are already actively building two projects on top of that platform. Having Virgo bundled with Apache’s Tomcat also helped in deciding which container to use as our projects are mostly web applications.
A Warning

Before discussing Virgo's technology, a word of warning is appropriate. As with any new technology, Virgo is not a “silver bullet”. Virgo is completely modular and is aimed to support modular applications. There is tremendous value in modularising certain kinds of applications, although this often comes with a cost. Two costs should be highlighted to balance the benefits just considered.

The first is the trade-off between the benefits of modularity and the additional conceptual burden of having to deal with modularity at all. It is doubtful whether the additional complexity of modularity can really pay for itself when applied to a very simple application. However, once the size or complexity of an application grows a little, the benefits of modularity start to kick in. When an application reaches moderate size or complexity, the benefits of modularity become clear: the application is easier to maintain, more robust, more flexible, easier to comprehend, test, and debug, and easier to reuse in building other applications.

The second cost is that of refactoring an existing monolithic application into a well-designed collection of modules. The effort involved is often much larger than anticipated and is really only warranted for important applications or those which are anticipated to have a long lifetime and require significant enhancement. It would be hard to justify modularising a fairly stable application or one which has a limited lifespan. However, sometimes the pain of maintaining an application is so significant and the difficulty of making changes without breaking function is so hard that the investment to modularise the application can be justified. Even so, this will not necessarily be an easy or painless process.

The same warnings could have been made of object oriented programming when this started to take over from structured programming in the 1980's. However, software developers are now familiar with object orientation and many have the skills required to design good interfaces and classes. Designing good modules requires the same skills. So there is a sense of inevitability that modular software is the way of the future, at least from an engineering perspective and that the software community will learn to make the appropriate cost/benefit trade-offs.

Technology

The main concepts of Virgo's implementation are now surveyed. Some of these were designed in from the start whereas others have evolved over several releases. The essential point is that Virgo is not just a mass of code but has a principled design which helps anyone who needs to work on the codebase.

Artefacts

Virgo supports the deployment of various types of artefact. Standard artefact types understood by the Virgo deployer are:

1. Bundle – a standard OSGi bundle which is essentially a JAR with special manifest headers;
2. Configuration - a properties file with name of the form <pid>.properties which, when deployed, produces a Configuration Admin dictionary with PID <pid>;
3. Plan - an XML file which refers to arbitrary other artefacts in the Virgo repository -- plans may be scoped or unscoped, as described below, and atomic or non-atomic in terms of whether the lifecycle of the plan is tied to the lifecycle of the plan's artefacts;
4. PAR – a Plan ARchive which contains a collection of artefacts and is equivalent to a scoped, atomic plan referencing those artefacts (except the artefacts need not be present in
In addition, it is at least theoretically possible to add user-defined artefact types.

**Nano**

Virgo Nano is a small core of function which was factored out of the original Virgo kernel. It provides most of Virgo's diagnostic features as well as p2 provisioning support. Nano can be run on its own to provide a single region framework.

**Kernel**

The Virgo kernel is the core runtime which may be used on its own or to deploy one or more server types and applications for those server types. The kernel houses the deployment pipeline, described below, as well as support for common artefact types (bundle, configuration, plan, and PAR), regions, scoping, and other core Virgo features.

**Regions**

Region support was added to enable applications to run with a different version of Spring than that used by the kernel. A minimal set of Spring bundles is installed into the kernel region with very few optional dependencies which keeps the kernel footprint and startup time low. In principle, Spring could be entirely removed from the kernel region if the kernel was modified not to depend on Spring (most of these dependencies are because the kernel uses Gemini Blueprint to publish and find kernel services).

Certain packages and services are imported from the kernel region into the user region and certain services, but no packages, are exported from the user region to the kernel region. This isolates the kernel region from unnecessary interference with the user region. A configuration file controls the importing of packages and services into and the exporting of services out of the user region.

So, apart from the basic principle that no packages are exported from the user region to the kernel, there is considerable flexibility for changing the contents of both kernel and user regions and for specifying which packages and services are shared between the regions. Figure 4 Shows Virgo's regions.
In future, Virgo could be extended to support multiple user regions in order to isolate applications from each other, probably as part of its support for OSGi Subsystems.

**Scoping**

Virgo adds the concept of scoping to OSGi. The main use case for scoping is where a group of bundles form an application which needs to avoid clashing with other applications and which needs reliable behaviour when it calls third party bundles which use thread context class loading. Clashes can occur because of bundles, packages, or services conflicting in some way.

**Metadata Rewriting**

Virgo rewrites the metadata of bundles in a scope to prefix the bundle symbolic names with a scope-specific prefix and to add a mandatory matching attribute, with a scope-specific value, to packages exported by bundles in the scope. Virgo also uses the standard OSGi service registry hooks to limit the visibility of services published by bundles in a scope.

However, a bundle in a scope may access bundles, packages, and services not provided in the scope but which are available outside the scope, that is from unscoped bundles. So a scope acts similarly to a programming language scope such as Java's curly braces:
int x;
// b is not visible here
{
    int b;
    // both b and x are visible here
}

Metadata rewriting is performed using a sophisticated deployment pipeline, described later. This pipeline runs in the kernel region with limited exposure to the User Region, as shown in Figure 5.

**Synthetic Context Generation**

To ensure reliable thread context class loading when third party bundles are called from a scope, Virgo generates a *synthetic context bundle* in the scope. The class loader of the synthetic context bundle is used as the thread context class loader when bundles in the scope make calls outside the scope. The synthetic bundle imports each of the other bundles in the scope using the Virgo *Import-Bundle* header. This is semantically equivalent to importing all the exported packages of the other bundles in the scope. So to make a package of a scoped application available for thread context class loading, it is simply necessary to export the package.

Figure 6 shows an example of a scoped application with two bundles A and B which calls a library bundle L which expects to be able to load application types using the thread context class loader. In particular, L may need to load types from bundle A even when called from bundle B. Using B's class loader as the thread context class loader on the call to L would only solve the problem if B imported the packages exported by A. This approach would obscure the true structure of the
application, in which B may not depend on A and would make updating bundles have a greater impact than necessary. The synthetic context bundle solves this by providing a thread context class loader which can load all the needed types while not obscuring the structure of the application.

Example of Scoping

Figure 7: Scoping Example
Figure 7 shows a scoped plan referring to two bundles A and B being deployed. The result is a scope containing the bundles A and B as well as the synthetic context bundle. Note that bundles inside the scope can access bundles, such as X, outside the scope. Also, bundles outside the scope, such as Y, cannot access bundles inside the scope.
Deployment Pipeline
Artefacts are deployed into Virgo using a deployment pipeline consisting of several pipeline stages some of which have pipelines nested inside them as shown in Figure 8.

Transformers
Many of the interesting modifications to the tree are performed by the transform stage which uses the whiteboard pattern\(^3\) to drive all services of a Transformer type in order of service ranking. A number of standard Transformer services are defined by the kernel's deployer bundle. Some interesting examples of standard Transformers are:

- **PlanResolver** which takes as input a tree consisting of a single plan node and adds a subtree representing the content of the plan, including any nested plans and their subtrees,

- **ScopingTransformer** which rewrites the metadata of a subtree rooted in a scoped plan and gathers service scoping information for the subtree,

- **SyntheticContextBundleCreatingTransformer** which adds a synthetic context bundle as a child node of a scoped plan, and

- **ImportExpandingTransformer** which converts Virgo-specific headers such as `Import-Bundle` into standard OSGi `Import-Package` statements.

\(^3\) In the whiteboard pattern, event consumers register themselves in the service registry under an agreed interface and one or more event producers locate consumers in the service registry and publish events to all the consumers.
Quasi Framework

The quasi framework is an abstraction of the Equinox framework state and is used in auto-provisioning missing dependencies during deployment. The quasiInstall stage installs the bundles in the input tree into an instance of the quasi framework. The quasiResolve stage attempts to resolve these bundles and auto-provision any missing dependencies from the Virgo repository by installing them in the quasi framework instance. The commit stage attempts to install the bundles in the artefact tree, along with any auto-provisioned bundles, into the OSGi framework.

Exception Handling

There are two approaches to handling exceptions thrown by a pipeline stage. In general, unexpected exceptions are allowed to percolate upward and result in diagnostics and a failed deployment. However, certain expected exceptions, such as failure to resolve the dependencies of the install artefact tree, need to be handled more gracefully. In these cases, a compensating pipeline stage is defined which drives a compensation stage if an exception is thrown. failInstall and failResolve in Figure 8 are examples of compensation stages.

Repositories

Virgo repositories contain artefact URLs and metadata and are indexed by the Cartesian product of artefact type, name, and version. There are three kinds of repository: external, watched, and remote. Repositories are passive in the sense that changes to repository content do not cause artefacts to be deployed into Virgo, refreshed, or undeployed. Repositories support queries which allow sets of artefacts satisfying certain criteria, for example with a version in a given version range, to be calculated.

External Repositories

External repositories are created by scanning a directory which contains artefacts, possibly in nested directories. The repository configuration specifies a pattern which says which files should be treated as artefacts. After the repository is created, changes to the directory do not affect the repository content.

The Virgo kernel's default repository configuration specifies an external repository created from the repository/ext directory.

Watched Repositories

Watched repositories are created by scanning a directory which contains artefacts but no nested directories. All files in the directory are treated as artefacts. The directory is re-scanned periodically and the interval between re-scans is specified in the repository configuration. The directory is also re-scanned before any artefact is deployed. Changes detected by re-scanning are reflected in the repository content. Note that changing the content of a watched repository does not cause artefacts to be deployed into Virgo, refreshed, or undeployed.

The Virgo kernel's default repository configuration specifies a watched repository based on the contents of the repository/usr directory.
Remote Repositories

A remote repository refers to a repository hosted by a Virgo instance sometimes known as a repository server.

The remote repository is accessed by a Virgo instance sometimes known as a repository client. The repository client is normally a different instance of Virgo to the instance hosting the repository, but it can be the same instance which is handy for testing. The remote repository periodically downloads its content from the hosted repository. The period between downloads may be configured in the repository configuration. The remote repository also caches artefacts which have secure hashes associated with them in the hosted repository. Only bundles currently have secure hashes associated with them. The secure hash is used to determine when a cached artefact is stale and needs to be freshly downloaded.

Repository Chains

The Virgo repository is configured as a chain of external, watched, and remote repositories. The chain is a list which is searched in the configured order. The effect of this search order is that an artefact with a given type, name, and version which appears in more than one repository in the chain is only accessed from the first repository in the chain in which it appears. Abstractly, the repository chain behaves as a single repository, but its content may mutate in quite a different way to the content of an individual external, watched, or remote repository because of the sequential searching of the chain.

Aspects

Virgo is built using compile-time weaving to inject code into Virgo to satisfy various pervasive requirements. AspectJ aspects are used to define join points where code is to be injected and advice or injected code. Most of the Virgo codebase is instrumented using an Aspect which provides method entry/exit trace logging. This combined with Logback's ability to configure logging at the level of a package or class means that it is easy to configure Virgo so that a particular area of code produces detailed log messages while the rest produces very little. AspectJ makes this easy to maintain as there is no hand-written method entry/exit code to deal with.

Other aspects generate a dump when an unchecked exception is thrown by Virgo and sanitise exceptions thrown under a JMX request so they are suitable for propagating across JMX.
Virgo Runtime Deliverables

Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat builds on the kernel and adds Gemini Web, a web integration layer, and various supporting bundles. It supports deploying sophisticated multi-bundle web applications. The Virgo snaps framework may also be used to cleanly modularise the web bundles of an application.

Virgo Jetty Server is similar to Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat but embeds the Jetty servlet container instead of the embedded Tomcat servlet container. Currently, snaps does not support Virgo Jetty Server.

Virgo kernel supports the core concepts of Virgo but is not biased towards the web, thus enabling other types of server to be created. The kernel can also be used stand-alone as a rich OSGi application platform. A server can easily be constructed by deploying suitable bundles on top of the kernel.

Virgo Nano is a minimal core of the Virgo kernel which is designed to run relatively simple OSGi applications in a single region. Bundles can be provisioned at runtime using p2.

Virgo Nano “Full” builds on Virgo Nano and embeds Gemini Web to provide Tomcat-based servlet support. Bundles, including Web Application Bundles, can be provisioned at runtime using p2.

All the above deliverables can be initially provisioned using p2.

Figure 10 shows the main functional differences between the various Virgo deliverables.
Virgo IDE Tooling

Virgo provides Eclipse-based tooling which may be installed into a regular Eclipse for Java EE distribution. The Virgo tooling inherits various OSGi standard features from the Eclipse Libra project and then adds Virgo-specific capabilities including the ability to develop and deploy bundles, PARs, and plans to a Virgo instance managed by the tooling.

Virgo Bundlor

Virgo Bundlor is a manifest generation tool which generates a bundle's manifest based on a template provided by the user and bytecode inspection of the bundle's classes. The template defines bundle symbolic name, bundle version and similar headers as well as a policy for exported package versions and imported package version ranges.

Embedded Technology

The following open source components are reused by Virgo.

Tomcat


Jetty

The Jetty servlet container is embedded in Virgo Jetty Server. Jetty implements the OSGi Web
Applications standard and the Java Servlet specification.

Gemini Web

Gemini Web is embedded in Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat and Virgo Nano “Full” to support the deployment of WAR files and OSGi standard Web Application Bundles (which are approximately WAR files with bundle manifests). Gemini Web is the Reference Implementation of the OSGi Web Applications standard. Figure 11 shows the high level structure of Gemini Web.

Web Application Bundles are deployed into OSGi as normal and an extender in Gemini Web listens for bundle start events and registers a corresponding servlet with the Tomcat servlet container. WAR files must be deployed into Gemini Web using a special URL scheme. Gemini Web provides a URL handler which converts such WARs into Web Application Bundles before deploying them into OSGi.

When Gemini Web is reused in Virgo Server for Apache Tomcat, a web integration layer integrates Gemini Web into the Virgo deployment pipeline so that WARs and Web Application Bundles can be deployed using all the normal Virgo deployment mechanisms: web admin console, pickup directory, Virgo IDE tooling, etc.

When Gemini Web is reused in Virgo Nano “Full” it runs without modification as an extender.

Gemini Blueprint

Gemini Blueprint allows services and references to services to be declared in XML. Service declarations specify the concrete class which is instantiated to provide the service. Service references are injected into bean declarations to provide a Spring-like dependency injection programming model. Gemini Blueprint also supports the earlier Spring DM function from which it was derived.

Figure 11: Gemini Web
Gemini Management

Gemini Management is used to provide a JMX view of bundles in Virgo. Separate instances of Gemini Management are installed in the kernel and user regions in order to provide JMX access to both regions.

Gogo Shell

The Gogo shell from the Apache Felix project is embedded to provide an extensible shell accessible via telnet or ssh. Virgo adds its own commands for deploying, examining, and managing artefacts and for diagnosing class loading problems.

Logback

Logback is embedded in Virgo to provide logging support. Standard logback configuration is supported. Virgo defines relatively high-volume trace logging for diagnostic purposes and relatively low-volume, internationalisable event logging for feedback to administrators.

Equinox OSGi Framework

Eclipse Equinox is embedded in Virgo as an OSGi framework implementation. Equinox is the reference implementation of the OSGi framework specification. Virgo uses several implementation specific features of Equinox to provide better OSGi bundle resolution diagnostics and to configure class loading and resource lookup to suit Virgo's requirements.

Equinox Services

Virgo embeds Equinox implementations of the OSGi standard Declarative Services for service publication and lookup, Configuration Admin for bundle configuration, and Event Admin for event propagation.

Equinox p2

Virgo uses p2 for initial provisioning of all its deliverables as an alternative to the regular ZIP file install which is also provided. Virgo Nano and Nano “Full” support runtime provisioning by p2. Runtime provisioning is not yet supported for Virgo kernel-based distributions as p2 has no support for multiple regions.
Since Eclipse Ganymede (3.4) p2 has been the provisioning platform of choice for Eclipse and Eclipse-based applications. p2 introduced many features such as its own repository concept, artefact mirroring, general purpose provisioning, reversion functionality, and much more. Even though p2 has been used primarily for Eclipse provisioning and extending, the system had the potential to fit in many environments and scenarios.

p2 supports three types of artefact: regular OSGi bundles, features which group bundles or other features together, and products which are self-contained units that groups bundles and features along with optional configuration for them. The configuration can include auto-start, start level, environment and system property settings.

p2 defines two types of repositories: metadata and artefact. Metadata repositories contain meta-information about the artefacts included in the repository: their requirements, provided capabilities, instructions applied at installation, etc. Artefact repositories contain the coordinates of the artefact binaries included in the repository. Normally these two repositories go together.

p2 provides a GUI or can run in headless mode. The GUI can be used to publish artefacts into a p2 repository or to install them as standalone entities or into an existing product. The p2 operations are also available as headless ant tasks which can be embedded in scripts.

Full-blown p2 provisioning is available only in Virgo Nano. The other Virgo distributions (Kernel and higher) can have their kernel region modified at runtime via p2, but not their user region.

**Equinox Region Bundle**

Virgo implemented a notion of region which is an isolated partition of the OSGi framework and implemented this using OSGi standard framework hooks provided by the Equinox framework. The region concept was of interest to others outside Virgo and so the implementation was moved into Equinox as the Equinox Region bundle which Virgo now embeds.

The Equinox Region bundle is also used by the Apache Aries implementation of the OSGi Subsystems specification as well as by others who wanted to implement multi-tenancy support directly on top of an OSGi framework.

Since the Equinox Region bundle depends only on standard OSGi features such as the framework hooks, it will run on any OSGi framework implementation which provides those features.

**Standards**

Virgo supports the following standards, usually by embedding other components as described above.

- Java EE Web Profile
- Java Servlet Specification
- OSGi Blueprint Service
- OSGi Configuration Admin Service
- OSGi Core
- OSGi Declarative Services
- OSGi Event Admin Service
- OSGi Log Service
- OSGi Web Applications
Although Virgo does not yet implement the OSGi Subsystems specification, many of the facilities of Subsystems are already part of Virgo including PARs, scoped and unscoped plans, and provisioning of dependencies from a repository. One notable advantage of the OSGi Subsystems specification over Virgo was the ability for multi-bundle applications to share artefacts. This support was therefore added to Virgo 3.5.0 to provide equivalent function in a Virgo style. Support for standard subsystem artefact types in Virgo is a future plan item.

Further Information

- Virgo home page at http://www.eclipse.org/virgo/
- Virgo documentation at http://www.eclipse.org/virgo/documentation/
  - User Guide
  - Programmer Guide
  - Snaps Guide
- Greenpages sample application at http://www.eclipse.org/virgo/samples/
  - Sample ready to build with Maven
  - Greenpages Guide
- Virgo Wiki at http://wiki.eclipse.org/Virgo
- Virgo FAQ at http://wiki.eclipse.org/Virgo/FAQ

Figure 13: Sharing Artefacts Between Plans